0.3Li₂MnO₃·0.7LiNi₀.₅Mn₀.₅O₂ is prepared by a solid-state method with a two-step heating process, and the effect of cooling rate after the first-step heating on structure and electrochemical behavior of the obtained material is studied. The result shows that the sample prepared with a slow cooling has much better electrochemical performance due to its better structural integration between Li₂MnO₃ and LiNi₀.₅Mn₀.₅O₂ than the sample obtained with a quick cooling. Such an effect of cooling rate after the first-step heating in our work is contrary to the effect of cooling rate after the second-step heating as previously reported.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Li-rich layered oxides have attracted great attention as promising cathode materials for lithium ion batteries because they can deliver much higher capacities (~ 250 mAh g⁻¹) [1-7]. These oxides may be thought of as composite materials denoted as \(x\)Li₂MnO₃·(1-\(x\))LiMO₂ (0 < \(x\) < 1, M = Mn, Ni, Co, etc.) which are composed of a trigonal LiMO₂ phase (space group R-3m) and a monoclinic Li₂MnO₃ phase (space group C2/m) [1-4], and the structural integration of Li₂MnO₃ with LiMO₂ is essential to achieve high performance \(x\)Li₂MnO₃·(1-\(x\))LiMO₂ [8]. Based on the available literature [8, 9], it is known that the structural integration of a specific Li-rich layered oxide is highly dependent on the
synthesis method and condition. To obtain high quality Li-rich layered oxides, it is extremely important to know what synthesis parameters are likely to affect the structural integration between Li$_2$MnO$_3$ and LiMO$_2$. Previous work has reported that cooling rate may sometimes greatly affect structure and electrochemical performance of the obtained Li-rich layered oxides [9, 10], but attention was only focused on the cooling rate after the final heating process [9-11]. Currently, a two-step heating process has been widely adopted to synthesize $x$Li$_2$MnO$_3$·(1-$x$)LiMO$_2$ [8-12], but effect of cooling rate after the first-step heating remains uncovered.

In the present work, two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples are prepared by a solid-state method with a two-step heating process, and the effect of cooling rate after the first-step heating on structure and electrochemical behavior of the obtained materials is preliminarily investigated. The results show that the cooling rate after the first-step heating exerts a big influence on structure and electrochemical performance of the obtained 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$, but has the opposite effect from the cooling rate after the second-step heating as previously reported [9].

2. EXPERIMENTAL

0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ materials were prepared by a solid-state method with a two-step heating process. Chemicals of Li$_2$CO$_3$, MnCO$_3$ and NiCO$_3$·2Ni(OH)$_2$·4H$_2$O with a stoichiometric amount were fully mixed with deionized water by ball-milling for 5 h and dried overnight at 90°C in a vacuum oven. The obtained precursor was firstly heated at 400°C for 5 h in muffle furnace and then cooled to room temperature with two different ways: (1) natural cooling (slow cooling) in muffle furnace, or (2) quenched (quick cooling) out of muffle furnace in air. The cooled powders were well grounded in an agate mortar and then heated at 900°C for 20 h in muffle furnace followed by a natural cooling in muffle furnace. The heating rate was fixed at 5 °C min$^{-1}$ for both steps of heating.

The crystalline phase of the obtained materials was identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/MaX-3B, Rigaku). Raman spectroscopy was measured using a Reinshaw inVia Raman microscope at room temperature with an Ar 514.5 nm laser as excitation source. Materials morphology and particle size were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, XL30, Philips).

Electrochemical properties were assessed using CR2025 coin cells with a lithium metal anode. The cathode was made by mixing active material, super P and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in a weight ratio of 8:1:1 in N-methyl pyrrolidinone (NMP) to form homogenous slurry. Then the slurry was coated on an aluminium foil by a doctor blade coater and dried in a vacuum oven. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF$_6$ in EC/DMC(1/1 in volume) solution. All cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box. Cells were charged and discharged between 2.0 and 4.8 V using a battery test system (Land CT2001A) at 30°C.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows XRD patterns of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating. All peaks of the two patterns can be indexed on the
basis of two layer structures: the trigonal (T) LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ phase and the monoclinic (M) Li$_2$MnO$_3$ phase. The weak reflections in the 20 range of ~20º-25º are characteristic of the monoclinic structure of the Li$_2$MnO$_3$ phase [4]. However, there is an obvious difference between the two patterns. The (101)$_T$/(104)$_T$ peaks of the LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ phase in the 20 range of 35 to 45º are clearly separated from the (111)$_M$/(131)$_M$ peaks of the Li$_2$MnO$_3$ phase for the sample obtained with a quick cooling (Figure 1b), while these peaks of the two phases are almost integrated into two peaks for the sample obtained with a slow cooling (Figure 1a). The same phenomenon is observed in the 20 range of 62 to 70º. These observations demonstrate that the structure of 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ is highly sensitive to the cooling rate after the first-step heating. A quick cooling may lead to the phase separation of Li$_2$MnO$_3$ and LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ while a slow cooling is beneficial to form structurally integrated layered composition.

**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating: (a) slow cooling and (b) quick cooling.

**Figure 2.** Raman spectra of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating: (a) slow cooling and (b) quick cooling.

Figure 2 shows Raman spectra of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating. The sample obtained with a slow cooling has
three peaks at 601, 485 and 430 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2a), which is in agreement with the previous studies on Li-rich layered oxides [13,14], indicating a fine integration of \(0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot0.7\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\). However, there are two more peaks at 414 and 364 cm\(^{-1}\) observed for the sample obtained with a quick cooling (Figure 2b) which are only associated with the individual \(\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\) [15,16], suggesting that the \(\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\) phase did not structurally integrate with the \(\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) phase. Namely, the sample obtained with a slow cooling has much better integration of the \(\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\) with the \(\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) than the sample obtained with a quick cooling. This result is well consistent with the above XRD study. In addition, the morphology and particle size of the two \(0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot0.7\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) samples were measured by SEM as shown in Figure 3 and no obvious difference was observed. Therefore, the cooling way after the first-step heating only affects the structure of \(0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot0.7\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) but not the morphology and particle size.

![Figure 3. SEM images of the two 0.3Li\(_2\)MnO\(_3\)•0.7LiNi\(_{0.5}\)Mn\(_{0.5}\)O\(_2\) samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating: (a) slow cooling and (b) quick cooling.](image)

Figure 4 (left) shows the initial charge/discharge curves of the two \(0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot0.7\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating. Cells were cycled at 0.1 C (1 C is equal to 250 mA g\(^{-1}\)) between 2.0 and 4.8 V. Clearly, completely different electrochemical behavior is observed for the two samples. For the sample obtained with a slow cooling, the initial charge curve exhibits a two-plateau profile. The voltage plateau above 4.5 V is the characteristic feature of the integrated \(x\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot(1-x)\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\), and can be attributed to the simultaneous removal of lithium and oxygen from the \(\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\) component [4,17]. The initial charge and discharge capacities are 251 and 172 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively. For the sample obtained with a quick cooling, however, only a reversible capacity of 38 mAh g\(^{-1}\) is observed, and the charge plateau above 4.5 V is absent, indicating that the \(\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\) phase is not activated. Figure 4 (right) shows the rate performance of the two \(0.3\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3\cdot0.7\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2\) samples. Cells were charged at 0.1C to 4.8 V and then discharged at various rates to 2.0 V. The sample obtained with a slow cooling has much higher discharge capacities at all rates as compared to that obtained with a quick cooling. However, we should point out that although the sample obtained with a slow cooling
possesses better performance, the reversible capacity is still not high, which may be due to two factors: (1) the structural integration between Li$_2$MnO$_3$ and LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ is still not perfect and (2) the particle size is relatively large. Enhanced electrochemical performance may be achieved by improvement of structural integration and particle size reduction through optimizing ball-milling and calcination conditions.

**Figure 4.** (Left) The initial charge/discharge curves of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating: (a) slow cooling and (b) quick cooling. Cells were cycled at 0.1C between 2.0 and 4.8 V. (Right) Rate performance of the two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples obtained with different cooling ways after the first-step heating: (a) slow cooling and (b) quick cooling. Cells were charged at 0.1C to 4.8 V and then discharged at various rates to 2.0 V.

From the above measurements, we can conclude that the sample obtained with a slow cooling has much better electrochemical performance due to its better structural integration than the sample obtained with a quick cooling. As compared the present work with the previous report [9], it is interesting that the effect of cooling rate after the first-step heating is directly opposite to that after the second-step heating. This demonstrates that the same process parameter at different processing step may have a completely different effect on Li-rich layered oxides.

**4. CONCLUSIONS**

Two 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ samples have been synthesized by a two-step heating process, and the cooling rate after the first-step heating at 400 °C was shown to have a significant impact on the structure and electrochemical behavior. A quick cooling after the first-step heating led to the phase separation between Li$_2$MnO$_3$ and LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$ in the obtained material, and as a result poor electrochemical performance was observed. However, a slow cooling after the first-step heating yielded well integrated 0.3Li$_2$MnO$_3$·0.7LiNi$_{0.5}$Mn$_{0.5}$O$_2$, and consequently much better electrochemical performance could be achieved. These observations demonstrate that the cooling rate after the first-step heating has the opposite effect from that after the second-step heating as previously reported.
These findings are fundamentally important to rationally and controllably synthesize high performance Li-rich layered oxides.
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