

Determination of Midazolam by Potentiometric PVC membrane and MWCNTs based Carbon Paste Sensors

Mohammad Reza Ganjali^{1,2,*}, Bagher Larijani,² Parviz Norouzi^{1,2}

¹ Center of Excellence in Electrochemistry, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

² Endocrinology & Metabolism Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail: ganjali@khayam.ut.ac.ir

Received: 29 March 2012 / Accepted: 14 April 2012 / Published: 1 May 2012

PVC membrane and nano-composite carbon paste potentiometric sensors were made for determination of midazolam in pharmaceutical formulations. The electrodes respond based on ion-exchange mechanism. The ion-pair used as a sensing element in PVC membrane and carbon paste compositions was synthesized by interaction of midazolam and tetraphenyl borate. The best PVC membrane sensor response was obtained by a membrane composition of 30% PVC, 65% DBP, and 5% ion-pair. Carbon paste electrode was then designed to have an electrode with the better mechanical resistance. The best electrode was composed of 20% ion-pair, 20% paraffin oil, 5% MWCNTs and 55% graphite. The proposed method was successfully applied in determination of Midazolam in the pharmaceutical formulations.

Keywords: Midazolam, Potentiometric Sensor, PVC membrane Electrode, Nano-composite Carbon Paste electrode, Ion-Pair

1. INTRODUCTION

Benzodiazepines are psychoactive therapeutic compounds possess sedative, hypnotic, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, muscle relaxant, and amnesic actions [1,2], which are useful in a variety of indications such as alcohol dependence, seizures, anxiety, panic, agitation and insomnia. They slow down the activity of the central nervous system. Benzodiazepines are classified as short, intermediate or long-acting. Short and intermediate-acting benzodiazepines are preferred for the treatment of insomnia. Longer-acting benzodiazepines are recommended for the treatment of anxiety.

Midazolam (MDZ), 8-Chloro-6-(2-fluorophenyl)-1-methyl-4H-imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]benzodiazepine (Figure 1) [3], is a kind of short-acting drug of benzodiazepine family. The drug is used for

treatment of acute seizures, moderate to severe insomnia, and for inducing sedation and amnesia before medical procedures. It possesses potent anxiolytic, amnestic, hypnotic, anticonvulsant, skeletal muscle relaxant, and sedative properties [4]. Midazolam is the most commonly used benzodiazepine as a premedication for sedation. Also it is used for induction and maintenance of anesthesia.

Spectrophotometry [5,6], electrochemical methods [7,8] have been reported for midazolam determinations in pharmaceutical formulations.




Figure 1. Chemical structure of Midazolam

Different electrochemical measurement techniques were used for drug analysis during recent year [9,10] but potentiometric using indicator electrodes have advantages of rapid and ease of preparation and procedures, fast response time, reasonable selectivity, wide linear dynamic range, and low cost. These characteristics have certainly led to the preparation of numerous sensors for several ionic species, and the list of available electrodes has grown largely over the past years [11-20].

PVC membrane electrodes are one of the subdivisions of potentiometric sensors which are widely used and have different application in analysis of ionic species [21-31]. The major problem of PVC membrane sensors is their low physical and mechanical resistance for long-term usage. Besides, carbon paste electrodes (CPEs) are another category of potentiometric sensors that have high mechanical stability. They have attracted more attention than PVC membrane electrodes because of some advantages such as improved renewability, more stable response, lower ohmic resistance, no need for internal solutions and suitable ability for modification [32-37].

In this work, two different kinds of potentiometric sensor were made. Both electrodes work based on ion-pair which was made from the interaction between Midazolamle and sodium tetraphenyl borate and they respond according to the ion-exchange mechanism. First, PVC membrane electrode was made after series of experiments. Next, a carbon paste electrode based on multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) was designed to improve the mechanical stability and analytical responses.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Apparatus

The glass cell where the MDZ indicator electrodes (PVC membrane or carbon paste

electrodes) were placed, consisted of two Ag/AgCl double junction reference electrodes (Azar-Electrode Co., Iran) as internal and external reference electrodes. Both electrodes were connected to a Corning ion analyzer with a 250 pH/mV meter with ± 0.1 mV precision.

2.2. Materials and Reagents

Chemicals (of analytical reagent grade) were: high-molecular weight polyvinylchloride (PVC) (Fluka Co., USA), sodium tetraphenyl borate (NaTPB), dibutyl phthalate (DBP), nitrobenzene (NB), benzyl acetate (BA) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Merck Co., Germany). All materials were of the highest available purity without further modification. Midazolam hydrochloride and its pharmaceutical formulation were obtained from a local pharmaceutical manufacturer (Tehran, Iran) as gift samples. The multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) with 10-40 nm diameters, 1-25 μm length, core diameter: 5-10 nm, SBET: 40-600 m^2/g , V_{total} : 0.9 cm^3/g , bulk density 0.1 g/cm^3 , true density 2.1 g/cm^3 and with 95% purity were purchased from a local company (Research Institute of the Petroleum Industry, Iran).

2.3. Preparation of the ion-pair

Sensing element used in both sensors was an ion-pair compound made from the interaction of Midazolam and sodium tetraphenyl borate. It was prepared by mixing about 20 mL of 0.01 M acidic solution of MDZ with 20 mL tetraphenyl borate solution. The resulting precipitate was then filtered, washed with distilled water and dried in room temperature [20,22].

2.4. Preparation of the Electrodes

2.4.1. PVC membrane electrode

General procedure to prepare PVC membrane was as follow: different amounts of ion-pair along with appropriate amounts of PVC, plasticizer and additive were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF), and the solution was mixed well into a glass dish of 2 cm diameter. Then, THF was evaporated slowly until an oily concentrated mixture was obtained. A plastic tube (about 3 mm o.d.) was dipped into the mixture for about 10 s so a transparent membrane of about 0.3 mm in thickness was formed. The tube was then pulled out from the mixture and kept at room temperature for about 5 h. Afterwards, the tube was filled with an internal filling solution (1.0×10^{-3} M of MDZ.HCl solution). The electrode was finally conditioned for 20 h by soaking in the same solution [17-22].

2.4.2. Carbon Paste Electrodes (CPEs)

General procedure for preparation of carbon paste electrode was as follows: various amounts of

ion-pair along with appropriate amount of graphite powder, MWCNTs, paraffin oil, were thoroughly mixed. After homogenization of the mixture, the resulting paste was transferred into a plastic tube with 6 mm o.d. and a height of 3 cm. The paste was carefully packed into the tube tip to avoid possible air gaps, which often enhance the electrode resistant. A copper wire was inserted into the opposite end of the CPE to establish electrical contact. External surface of the carbon paste was smoothed with soft paper. The electrode was finally conditioned for about 40 h by soaking it in a 1.0×10^{-3} M of MDZ.HCl solution [32,34].

2.5. Standard MDZ solutions

A stock solution of 0.02 M MDZ.HCl solution was prepared. The working standard solutions (1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-2} M) were prepared by appropriately dilution of the stock solution with distilled water.

2.6. The emf Measurements

Following cell assembly for the conduction of emf (electromotive force) measurements were used:

A: Ag-AgCl || internal solution, 1×10^{-3} M MDZ.HCl solution | PVC membrane | sample solution || Ag-AgCl, KCl (satd.)

B: CPE | sample solution || Ag-AgCl, KCl (satd.)

These measurements were done using calibration of the electrodes with several standard solutions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. PVC Membrane Composition Selection

Membrane composition effect on the potential responses of the electrode was tested. The operating characteristics of PVC membrane sensor can be significantly modified by changing the relative amount of the membrane components of the electrode. The main components of a membrane are PVC matrix, plasticizer and the ion-pair as a sensing material. Each membrane component plays a special role in the membrane function and electrode response. Previous studies shows that the membrane prepared with a plasticizer/PVC ratio about 2.2 can show the best performance [38-45]. As it can be seen in Table 1, the optimum amount of PVC was selected 30 mg.

Table 1. Optimization of PVC membrane ingredients

No.	Composition (%)			Slope (mV per decade)	LR (M)	DL (M)
	PVC	Plasticizer	Ion-pair			
1	30	DBP, 67	3	36.4±0.5	5.0×10 ⁻⁵ -5.0×10 ⁻³	4.0×10 ⁻⁵
2	30	DBP, 65	5	57.5±0.4	1.0×10 ⁻⁵ -1.0×10 ⁻²	8.0×10 ⁻⁶
3	30	DBP, 63	7	56.4±0.3	1.0×10 ⁻⁵ -1.5×10 ⁻²	1.0×10 ⁻⁵
4	30	NB, 65	5	24.2±0.5	5.0×10 ⁻⁵ -1.0×10 ⁻²	1.0×10 ⁻⁵
5	30	BA, 65	5	31.7±0.4	1.0×10 ⁻⁵ -1.0×10 ⁻²	1.0×10 ⁻⁵
6	30	DBP, 70	0	3.7±0.6	5.0×10 ⁻⁴ -5.0×10 ⁻³	-

Plasticizer which mainly acts as a membrane solvent allowing homogeneous dissolution and diffusional mobility of the ion-pair inside the membrane [46-51]. The plasticizer should be water-immiscible liquid with low vapor-pressure, compatible with PVC, no functional groups which can undergo protonation reactions. The selectivity of such electrode can be drastically influenced by the choice of the membrane solvent [44-54]. Nature of the plasticizer has a marked effect on analytical responses e.g. slope, linear domain and selectivity of PVC membrane electrodes. Here, three plasticizers with different polarity (dielectric constant) were tested, dibutyl phthalate (DBP with DC of 6.4), nitrobenzene (NB with DC of 35.7) and benzylacetate (BA with DC of about 5.7), as listed in Table 1. The electrode responses showed that membrane had DBP better respond. DBP among the used plasticizers provided an effective linear range and a lower detection limit due to the better extraction of MDZ ions in the organic layer of the membrane. As it can be seen from Table 1, absence of ion-pair in the membrane causes a very poor response (membrane no. 6), which confirm significance of the ion-pair. The electrodes behavior show that the best Nernstian slope is 56.4±0.3 mV per decade. As a conclusion, membrane no. 2 with the composition of 30% PVC, 5% ion-pair, and 65% DBP was the optimum one for the sensor design.

3.2. Carbon Paste Composition Selection

Two kinds of carbon paste were made; modified and unmodified CPEs with a variety of compositions. The results for these CPEs are given in Table 2. The electrode composed of 20% paraffin oil, 20% ion-pair, and 60% graphite powder (no. 5) was found to be optimal for MDZ carbon paste electrode. This composition was selected for further examination. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) have been also used in the compositions of carbon paste electrodes due to their surprising physicochemical properties, such as ordered structures with high aspect ratios, ultra-light weight, high thermal conductivity, metallic or semi-metallic behavior, high surface area, high electrical conductivity and remarkable mechanical strength. High conductivity of MWCNTs increases the dynamic working range and response time of the electrode. Addition of 5% MWCNT to the composition was found to increase the response to a Nernstian slope of about 58.7±0.3 mV per decade

(no. 7). From Table 2, it was obvious that in the absence of ion-pair and presence of other components (no. 1), the response of the modified CPE was very low (slope of 3.0 ± 0.7 mV per decade).

Table 2. Optimization of nano-composite carbon paste electrode composition

No.	Composition (%)				Slope (mV per decade)	LR (M)	DL (M)
	Graphite	Paraffin	Ion-pair	MWCNTs			
1	80	20	-	-	3.0 ± 0.7	-	-
2	70	20	10	-	33.1 ± 0.6	$5.0 \times 10^{-5} - 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$	5.0×10^{-5}
3	60	20	20	-	49.5 ± 0.4	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.0×10^{-5}
4	55	20	25	-	46.7 ± 0.5	$1.0 \times 10^{-5} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	1.0×10^{-5}
5	55	25	20	-	35.7 ± 0.6	$5.0 \times 10^{-5} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	5.0×10^{-5}
6	57	20	20	3	53.6 ± 0.3	$5.0 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	5.0×10^{-6}
7	55	20	20	5	58.7 ± 0.3	$5.0 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	5.0×10^{-6}
8	53	20	25	7	58.0 ± 0.4	$8.0 \times 10^{-6} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	8.0×10^{-6}

3.3. Calibration Graph and Statistical Data

The measuring range of a potentiometric sensor is the linear part of the calibration graph as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Calibration curves of CPE and PVC membrane electrode. The results are based on 5 replicate measurements.

Measurements could be performed in this lower range, but noted that more closely spaced calibration points are required for more precise determinations. For many electrodes the measuring range can extend from 1 molar to 10^{-6} or even 10^{-7} molar concentrations [47-54]. Calibration graph slope for PVC membrane electrode is 56.4 mV per decade of the midazolam concentration and a standard deviation of ± 0.3 mV after five replicate measurements. A linear response towards the midazolam concentration was from 1.0×10^{-5} - 1.0×10^{-2} M. Calibration graph slope for CPEs is 58.7 mV per decade of MDZ concentration in the range of 5.0×10^{-6} - 1.0×10^{-2} M with a standard deviation of ± 0.3 mV after eight replicate measurements. Detection limit was calculated from the intersection of two extrapolated segments of the calibration graph. In this work, detection limit of the PVC membrane sensor was 1.0×10^{-5} M and in case of nano-composite carbon paste electrode was 5.0×10^{-6} M which was calculated by extrapolating the two segments of the calibration curves.

3.4. Dynamic Response Time

Dynamic response time is the required time for the electrode to achieve values within ± 1 mV of the final equilibrium potential, after successive immersions in the sample solutions [45-50]. Its calculation involved the variation and the recording of the midazolam concentration in a series of solutions from 1.0×10^{-6} to 1.0×10^{-2} M. Both sensors were able to quickly reach its equilibrium response in the whole concentration range. This time for CPE was about 23 seconds and for PVC membrane electrode was about 18 s in the concentrated solutions.

3.5. pH Effect on the Electrodes Response




Figure 3. Applicable pH of the electrodes in the test solution of 1.0×10^{-4} M

To examine the effect of pH on the electrode responses, the potential was measured at specific concentration of the midazolam solution (1.0×10^{-4} M) from the pH value of 1.0 up to 9.0 (concentrated NaOH or HCl solutions were employed for the pH adjustment) by PVC membrane electrode. The results showed that the potential remained constant despite the pH change in the range of 4.0 to 7.5, which indicates the applicability of this electrode in the specified pH range.

Relatively noteworthy fluctuations in the potential vs. pH behavior took place below and above the formerly stated pH limits. In detail, the fluctuations above the pH value of 7.5 might be justified by removing the positive charge on the drug molecule. Fluctuations below the pH value of 4.0 were caused by removal of the membrane ingredients or analyte in the solution. In both electrodes the same trend were observed.

3.6. Life-time Study

Both electrodes lifetime was estimated by the calibration curve, periodical test of a standard solution and calculation of its response slope.

Table 3. Lifetime of CPE and PVC membrane electrode

Week	PVC membrane Slope (mV per decade)	DL (M)	Nano-composite CPE Slope (mV per decade)	DL (M)
First	56.4	1.0×10^{-5}	58.7	5.0×10^{-6}
Second	56.1	1.5×10^{-5}	58.6	5.5×10^{-6}
Third	55.8	3.5×10^{-5}	58.5	7.0×10^{-6}
Fourth	55.5	6.5×10^{-5}	58.4	8.5×10^{-6}
Fifth	55.3	8.0×10^{-5}	58.1	1.0×10^{-5}
Sixth	53.4	3.0×10^{-4}	57.7	3.5×10^{-5}
Seventh	52.1	5.0×10^{-4}	57.5	5.0×10^{-5}
Eighth	50.0	6.5×10^{-4}	56.2	2.5×10^{-4}
Ninth	46.2	8.5×10^{-4}	54.8	6.0×10^{-4}
Tenth	37.9	1.3×10^{-3}	54.0	8.0×10^{-4}

For this estimation, three electrodes were employed extensively (1 hour per day) for 10 weeks. After 5 weeks utilization of PVC membrane electrode, two changes were observed: a slight gradual decrease in the slope and an increase in the detection limit. As it can be seen from Table 3, this time in case of carbon paste was 7 weeks which shows the long-term stability of this kind of sensor in comparison with PVC membrane electrodes.

In PVC membrane electrodes after several time of usage, the membrane ingredients

leak from the organic layer and affect the membrane response. While in CPEs the surface of the electrode are renewable and can be used for longer time.

3.7. Analytical Applications

Linearity, limit of detection, recovery test, selectivity, precision, accuracy, and ruggedness/robustness were the parameters used for the method validation.

3.7.1. Recovery Test from Tablet

The proposed sensor was evaluated by measuring the drug concentration in some pharmaceutical formulations (midazolam amount of some ampoule vials, 5mg/1ml) (Table 4). The drug concentration was determined using calibration method. The results are in satisfactory agreement with the labeled amounts. The corresponding recovery percentage value varied from 97.6-104.0%.

Table 4. Potentiometric determination of midazolam in pharmaceutical formulations

Sample	Labeled amount (mg/1ml)	Found by PVC membrane electrode* (mg/1ml)	Found by Nano-composite CPE* (mg/1ml)
Sample 1	5	5.20±0.12	5.16±0.11
Sample 2	5	5.14±0.08	5.20±0.09
Sample 3	5	4.88±0.10	4.93±0.07

* The results are based on five replicate measurements.

3.7.2. Selectivity

Table 5. Selectivity coefficients of various interfering compounds for midazolam sensors

Interfering ion	Log K_{MPM} (PVC membrane electrode)	Log K_{MPM} (Nano-composite CPE)
Na ⁺	-3.3	-3.4
K ⁺	-3.7	-3.9
NH ₄ ⁺	-3.2	-3.4
Ca ²⁺	-4.0	-4.1
Mg ²⁺	-4.5	-4.3
Cl ⁻	-3.8	-3.6
NO ₃ ⁻	-4.1	-4.3
Lactose	-4.2	-4.1
Glucose	-4.0	-4.2

Selectivity, which describes an ion-selective electrode's specificity toward the target ion in the presence of interfering ions, is the most important characteristic of these devices. The potentiometric selectivity coefficients of the midazolam sensor were evaluated by the matched potential method

(MPM) [55-60]. The resulting values of the selectivity coefficients are shown in Table 5. Note that all selectivity coefficients are about 10^{-3} , suggesting were interferences negligible in the performance of the electrode assembly.

3.7.3. Precision and accuracy

For repeatability monitoring, 3 standard samples were measured. The RSD values by PVC membrane were 3.5, 3.7, and 3.1% and for nano-composite CPE were 3.0, 3.2, and 3.3%.

3.7.4. Ruggedness/Robustness

For ruggedness of the methods a comparison was performed between the intra- and inter-day assay results for midazolam obtained by two analysts.

The RSD values for the intra- and inter-day assays in the cited formulations performed in the same laboratory by the two analysts did not exceed 3.9%. On the other hand, the robustness was examined while the parameter values (pH of the solution and the laboratory temperature) changed slightly. Midazolam recovery percentages were good under most conditions, and not showing any significant change when the critical parameters were modified.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, two types of potentiometric electrodes were constructed for determination of midazolam. The sensors demonstrated advanced performances with a fast response time, a lower detection limit of 1.0×10^{-5} M for PVC membrane electrodes and of 5.0×10^{-6} M potential responses across the range of 1.0×10^{-5} - 1.0×10^{-2} M and 5.0×10^{-6} - 1.0×10^{-2} M. The sensors enabled the midazolam determination in pharmaceutical formulations. Both sensors respond based on ion-exchange mechanism. The best PVC membrane electrode performance was achieved by a membrane composition of 30% PVC, 65% DBP, and 5% ion-pair. Then, a carbon paste electrode was designed to improve the analytical responses. The best electrode was composed of 20% ion-pair, 20% paraffin, 5% MWCNTs and 55% graphite.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Research Council of University of Tehran for the financial support of this work.

References

1. C. Page, C. Michael, M. Sutter, M. Walker, and B.B. Hoffman, Integrated Pharmacology (2nd ed.). C.V. Mosby Ltd., (2002), ISBN 978-0723432210.
2. K.T. Olkkola, and J. Ahonen, "Midazolam and other benzodiazepines". Handbook of Experimental

- Pharmacology, Springer, 182 (2008) 335.
3. A.C. Moffat, M.D. Osselton, and B. Widdop, Clarke's Analysis of Drugs and Poisons, 3th Edition, Pharmaceutical Press (2005).
 4. R. Mandrioli, L. Mercolini, and M. A. Raggi, *Curr. Drug Metab.*, 9 (2008) 827.
 5. L.B. Pfindt, G.V. Popovic, and S.I. Supancic, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 13 (1995) 1551.
 6. L.B. Pfindt, G.V. Popovic, V.M. Stefanovic, and M.B. Rajic, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.*, 62 (1997) 687.
 7. S. Kir, A.N. Onar, and A. Temizer, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 229 (1990) 145.
 8. C.G. Amorim, A.N. Araújo, M.C.B.S.M. Montenegro, and V.L. Silva, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 48 (2008) 1064.
 9. P. Norouzi, G. R. Nabi Bidhendi, M. R. Ganjali, A. Sepehri, M. Ghorbani, *Microchim. Acta*, 152 (2005) 123.
 10. P. Norouzi, M. R. Ganjali, T. Alizadeh, and P. Daneshgar, *Electroanalysis*, 18 (2006) 947.
 11. F. Faridbod, M. R. Ganjali, R. Dinarvand, and P. Norouzi, *Sensors*, 8 (2008) 2331.
 12. M. R. Ganjali, R. Nemat, F. Faridbod, P. Norouzi, and F. Darviche, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 3 (2008) 1288.
 13. M. R. Ganjali, T. Poursaberi, F. Basiripour, M. Salavati-Niasari, M. Yousefi, and M. Shamsipur, *Fresenius J. Anal. Chem.*, 370 (2001) 1091.
 14. M. R. Ganjali, R. Kiani-Anbouhi, M. Shamsipur, T. Poursaberi, M. Salavati-Niasari, Z. Talebpour, M. Emami, *Electroanalysis* 16 (2004) 1002.
 15. M. R. Ganjali, T. Poursaberi, M. Hosseini, M. Salavati-Niasari, M. Yousefi, and M. Shamsipur, *Anal. Sci.*, 18 (2002) 289.
 16. M. R. Ganjali, A. Rouhollahi, A. R. Mardan, M. Hamzeloo, A. Moghimi, and M. Shamsipur, *Microchim. J.*, 60 (1998) 122.
 17. M.R. Ganjali, M. Tahami, M. Shamsipur, T. Poursaberi, S. Haghgoo, and M. Hosseini, *Electroanalysis*, 15 (2003) 1038.
 18. M. R. Ganjali, M. Emami, M. Rezapour, M. Shamsipur, B. Maddah, M. Salavati-Niasari, M. Hosseini, and Z. Talebpou, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 495 (2003) 51.
 19. F. Faridbod, M. R. Ganjali, B. Larijani, P. Norouzi, S. Riahi, F. S. Mirnaghi, *Sensors* 7 (2007) 3119.
 20. F. Faridbod, M. R. Ganjali, B. Larijani, E. Nasli-Esfahani, S. Riahi, and P. Norouzi, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 5 (2010) 653.
 21. S. K. Mittal, P. Kumar, S. K. Ashok Kumar, and L. F. Lindoy, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 5 (2010) 1984.
 22. F. Faridbod, M. R. Ganjali, L. Safaraliev, S. Riahi, M. Hosseini and P. Norouzi, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 4 (2009) 1419.
 23. V. K. Gupta, R. Ludwig and S. Agarwal, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 538 (2005) 213.
 24. M.R. Ganjali, H.A. Zamani, P. Norouzi, M. Adib, and M. Accedy, *Acta Chim. Slov.*, 52 (2005) 309.
 25. R. K. Bera, S. K. Sahoo, S. K. Mittal, and S.K.A. Kumar, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 5 (2010) 29.
 26. M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, F. S. Mirnaghi, S. Riahi and F. Faridbod, *IEEE Sensors J.*, 7 (2007) 1138.
 27. H. A. Zamani, G. Rajabzadeh, M. R. Ganjali and P. Norouzi, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 598 (2007) 51.
 28. S. K. Srivastava, V. K. Gupta, S. Jain, *Electroanalysis*, 8 (1996) 938.
 29. M. R. Ganjali, M. Rezapour, M. R. Pourjavid, and S. Haghgoo, *Anal. Sci.*, 20 (2004) 1007.
 30. A. K. Singh, V. K. Gupta and B. Gupta, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1 (2007) 171.
 31. M. R. Ganjali, A. Daftari, P. Nourozi and M. Salavati-Niasari, *Anal. Lett.*, 36 (2003) 1511.
 32. M. Javanbakht, A. Badieli, M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, A. Hasheminasab and M. Abdouss, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 601 (2007) 172.
 33. G. A. M. Mersal, and H. A. Arida, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 6 (2011) 1116.
 34. M. R. Ganjali, H. Khoshshafar, A. Shirzadmehr, M. Javanbakht and F. Faridbod, *Int. J.*

- Electrochem. Sci.*, 4 (2009) 435.
35. S. Reddy, B.E. Kumara Swamy, U. Chandra, B.S.Sherigara, and H. Jayadevappa, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 5 (2010) 10.
36. M. Javanbakht, M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, A. Badieli, A. Hasheminasab and M. Abdouss, *Electroanalysis*, 19 (2007) 1307.
37. A. C. Oliveira and L. H. Mascaro, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 6 (2011) 804.
38. M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, F. Faridbod, N. Hajiabdollah, B. Larijani and Y. Hanifehpour, *Anal. Lett.* 40 (2007) 2544.
39. M. R. Ganjali, Z. Memari, F. Faridbod, R. Dinarvand and P. Norouzi, *Electroanalysis*, 20 (2008) 2663.
40. H. A. Zamani, M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, and S. Meghdadi, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 37 (2007) 853.
41. M. R. Ganjali, S. Rasoolipour, M. Rezapour, P. Norouzi, A. Tajarodi, Y. Hanifehpour, *Electroanalysis*, 17 (2005) 1534.
42. V. K. Gupta, A. K. Singh and B. Gupta, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 575 (2006) 198.
43. H. Behmadi, H.A. Zamani, M.R. Ganjali, and P. Norouzi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 53 (2007) 1870.
44. H. A. Zamani, F. Malekzadegan, and M. R. Ganjali, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 28 (2008) 157.
45. A. Prkic, J. Giljanovic, and M. Bralic, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 6 (2011) 5388.
46. M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, A. Atrian, F. Faridbod, S. Meghdadi, M. Giah, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 29 (2009) 205.
47. V. K. Gupta, R. Mangla and S. Agarwal, *Electroanalysis*, 14 (2002) 1127.
48. M. R. Ganjali, H. Shams, F. Faridbod, L. Hajiaghababaei, P. Norouzi, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 29 (2009) 1380.
49. A. K. Jain, V. K. Gupta, L. P. Singh, P. Srivastava and J. R. Raison, *Talanta*, 65 (2005) 716.
50. H. A. Zamani, G. Rajabzadeh, M. R. Ganjali, *Talanta*, 72 (2007) 1093.
51. M. R. Ganjali, M. Rahimi-Nasrabadi, B. Maddah, A. Moghimi, S. Borhany, *Anal. Sci.*, 20 (2004) 1427.
52. D. Madunic-Cacic, M. Sak-Bosnar, and R. Matesic-Puac, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 6 (2011) 240.
53. M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, M. Adib, and A. Ahmadalinezhad, *Anal. Lett.*, 39 (2006) 1075.
54. E. Y. Z. Frag, A. M.K. Mohamed, G. G. Mohamed, and E. E. Alrahmony, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 6 (2011) 350.
55. H. A. Zamani, F. Malekzadegan, and M. R. Ganjali, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 555 (2006) 336.
56. S. Riahi, M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, and F. Jafari, *Sens. Actuators B*, 132 (2008) 13.
57. M. R. Ganjali, H. A. Zamani, P. Norouzi, M. Adib, M. Rezapour, and M. Aceedy, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 26 (2005) 579.
58. M. Shamsipur, M. Yousefi, M. Hosseini, and M. R. Ganjali, *Anal. Lett.*, 34 (2001) 2249.
59. M. R. Ganjali, P. Norouzi, F. Faridbod, S. Riahi, J. Ravanshad, J. Tashkhourian, M. Salavati-Niasari, and M. Javaheri, *IEEE Sensors J.*, 7 (2007) 544.
60. P. R. Buck, and E. Lindner, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 66 (1994) 2527.