Electrochemical Studies of Cu(phen)edda Interaction with DNA
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Copper phenanthroline ethylenediaminediacetic acid, [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O, has been synthesized and characterized using cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronocoulometry (CC), chronoamperometry (CA), and rotating disk electrode (RDE). The copper(II) complex is found to be electroactive as shown by its well defined redox waveforms during cyclic voltammetry at room temperature and at pH 6.8. The interaction between [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O and DNA was also investigated using cyclic voltammetry at room temperature and at pH 6.8. The above electrochemical interaction was evident as their CV results revealing a slight shift in formal potential (at a range of 5 to 23 mV dependant on scan rate) and a significant changes in redox peak currents (at a range of 0.05 to 0.4 times dependant on scan rate) to that of Cu(II) complexes in the presence of DNA. Copper (II) complex dissociates more easily as solution acidity increases as is evident by its larger current and decreases in peaks separation. Based on hydrodynamic voltammetric studies, the diffusion coefficients copper complex is found to be of the order of 10⁻⁷ cm²/s and kinetic rate constant of 10⁻⁵ cm/s.
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1. INTRODUCTION

DNA consists of genetic codes which can be obtained from the living cell. Genetic codes are the blueprint of the living being. Cancer happens when the cells grow extremely in disorder behavior. Therefore, interaction of metal ion and DNA has been studied in order to look for an alternative way to kill cancer cell. The metal ion centers create the binding catalytic sites for biological function or toxicity (Theophanides & Anastassopoulou, 2002). Each metal ion imposes a specific interaction
property to the biological molecule (Bannister, 1992; Sadler, 1979). Over the years, many studies on the interaction of metal complexes with DNA were reported. A variety of Ru(II) and Rh(III) complexes of polypyridine or 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) ligand with DNA has been extensively studied. They showed that transition metal complexes can interact noncovalently with DNA by intercalation, groove-face binding or external electrostatic binding (J. Liu et al., 2002). Copper is an essential trace element for the human diet, required for enzymes and occurs in human and animal tissues in biological systems in both the +1 and +2 valence states (Phipps, 1976). Bucholz in 1816 reported that copper occurs in plant and animal tissues. Later, in 1981, it was reported that copper-deficient rats developed anemia (Dawson, 1984). The major functions of copper–biological compounds involve redox reactions in which copper containing biological molecules react directly with molecular oxygen to produce free radicals (Aust, Morehouse, & Thomas, 1985; JM, 1990). Copper was found to bind DNA with high affinity (Bar-Or et al., 2001). A crystal structure was published of a complex formed between CuCl₂ and DNA giving a copper-binding to N7 of guanine residue and forming a pseudo-octahedral geometry in which the other sites are occupied with water molecules (Kagawa, Geierstanger, Wang, & Ho, 1991). Copper mediated DNA damage induced by metabolites of carcinogenic 2-nitropropane have been published depending on the concentration of Cu(II) and exposure to metabolites (Sakano et al., 2001). Macrocyclic copper (II) complexes have been found to react with DNA by different binding modes and to exhibit effective nuclease activity (Chand et al., 2001; C. Liu et al., 1999). In these studies, copper phenanthroline ethylenediaminediacetic acid was synthesized and was used to study its interaction with DNA and with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Electrochemical studies have been done on these interaction studies of copper phenanthroline ethylenediaminediacetic acid and DNA. Electrochemical studies include cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronocoulometry (CC), chronoamperometry (CA) and Osteryoung square-wave voltammetry (OSWV).

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Copper phenanthroline ethylenediaminediacetic acid, Cu(phen)(edda)·5H₂O, is synthesized (Ng et al., 2008). The molecular structure of this metal complex is shown as below (Figure 1):

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of metal complex](image-url)
The electrochemical experiments were performed with a BAS (Bioanalytical Systems, West Lafayette, IN, USA): CV-50W electrochemical workstation. 1mM of [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H2O is characterized using electrochemical techniques using 0.1M of potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (KH2PO4) as supporting electrolyte. Copper complex is dissolved into the supporting electrolyte and placed into an electrochemical cell. The cell was purged with nitrogen gas for 10 minutes in order to remove the dissolved oxygen in the solution. A conventional three electrode potentiostated system was used with 3 mm diameter glassy carbon (GC) as working electrode, Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) as reference electrode, and 1 mm diameter platinum wire as counter electrode. The three electrodes were immersed into the solution. Unless otherwise mentioned, the temperature was (25 ± 2)ºC.

Hydrodynamic voltammetry using rotating (carbon) disk electrode (RDE) and model: BAS-100A voltammetric workstation was also employed in the study.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) of Cu(phen)edda solution

Figure 2 showed a cyclic voltammogram of the copper complex, [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H2O, which reveals a quasi-reversible redox reaction involving the Cu(II)/Cu(0) couple with a peak potential separation of 128 mV. The peak currents for scan rate of 100m/s are 3.28µA (oxidation) and 1.63µA (reduction) vs. Ag/AgCl (3M NaCl) respectively.

![Cyclic voltammogram of Cu(phen)edda solution](image)

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammogram of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H2O in 0.1M KH2PO4, using glassy carbon as a working electrode, pH 6.8 and 100 mV/s.

3.2. Effect of Varying Scan Rate of Cu(phen)edda solution

The figure 3 is cyclic voltammogram of different scan rates (from lowest scan rate of 5mV/s to highest scan rate of 1000mV/s). As the scan rate increases the peak separation (ΔEp) increases indicating non-reversible process of the redox reaction of the Cu(II)/Cu(0). Therefore, the ΔEp peak is dependent on the scan rate.
Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms at different scan rates for solution of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H2O with glassy carbon electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH2PO4, and pH 6.8.

Log I versus log υ plot is plotted and two linear graphs were obtained. Both of the linear graphs have correlation value of at least 0.95. Each of this linear graph has its own equation;

\[
y = -0.53x + 6.85 \text{ (oxidation)}
\]

\[
y = 0.57x + 6.60 \text{ (reduction)}
\]

This copper complex is a diffusion controlled process (0.53 for oxidation and 0.57 for reduction) where the theoretical value of diffusion controlled process is 0.5. At zero current, the potential for oxidation and reduction are 100mV and 150mV respectively (Figure 4). The zero current potentials are independent of IR and morphological effect of the electrode interacting sites.

Figure 4. Plot of peak current, Ip, versus Peak Potential, Ep, for 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H2O solution with glassy carbon electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH2PO4 as supporting electrolyte at room temperature and at pH 6.8.
3.3. Chronoamperometry of Cu(phen)edda solution

Figure 5 shows the monotonic rise in current transient of the redox couple of Cu(II)/Cu(0) of [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O, typical of a diffusion controlled process, derived from the Cottrell equation.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Figure 5.** Chronoamperogram of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O solution with glassy carbon electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH₂PO₄ as supporting electrolyte at room temperature and at pH 6.8.

3.4. Chronocoulometry of Cu(phen)edda solution

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Figure 6.** Plot of chronocoulomogram for 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O solution with glassy carbon electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH₂PO₄ as supporting electrolyte at room temperature and at pH 6.8.

Figure 6 is a chronocoulomogram of [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O in 0.1M KH₂PO₄ as supporting electrolyte. During the reduction process, charge (Q) continues to increase even up to 9 msec⁻¹/²,
indicating the presence of sufficient flux of Cu(II)/Cu(0) couple. However, during the oxidation process, there is a rapid increase in the oxidation of Cu(0) in the first 2 msec$^{-1/2}$ and the process appeared to be nearly complete after 4 msec$^{-1/2}$. The slope of the forward and the reverse steps are 0.93$\mu$C/msec$^{1/2}$ and -2.16$\mu$C/msec$^{1/2}$ respectively. The intercept of forward and reverse steps are 18.1$\mu$C and -2.24$\mu$C respectively. The value for surface charge is 288$\mu$C/cm$^2$ using Cottrell equation and corresponds to 53.6ng of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O electrolyzed on the electrode surface of 3mm diameter.

3.5. Hydrodynamic Voltammetry using Rotating Disk Electrode (HVRDE) of Cu(phen)edda solution

RDE is used to determine the diffusion coefficient and the rate constant of the copper complex. According to the scan rate studies, [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O undergoes diffusion-controlled process and redox is a quasi-reversible reaction. Therefore, RDE is employed to determine the diffusion coefficient and the rate constant for [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O in aqueous medium. Glassy carbon (GC) and a solution contained of 1mM of [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O as analyte is dissolved in 0.1M KH$_2$PO$_4$ which act as supporting electrolyte were tested using RDE technique. Referring to RDE voltammogram (Figure 7), the excitation of current is observed between 50 to 57mV in potential in the scan rate of 50mV/s. This has to be done under lower scan rate in order to allow more time for the solution to diffuse into the GC electrode.

Based on Koutecky-Levich equation, $1/i_l = 1/i_k + 1/(0.62nFA\omega^{1/2}D_o^{5/3}v^{-1/6}C_o)$, a reciprocal graph of limiting current, $i_l$ versus square root of angular velocity, $\omega$. A slope of 45111 is obtained and the R-squared value is 0.9. The diffusion coefficient of the [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O is 0.24$\mu$cm$^2$/s is obtained using the Koutecky-Levich equation. Kinetic rate constant, $k_f$, of the system is calculated using the Koutecky-Levech equation; experimentally (Figure 8), the kinetic rate constant of 26$\mu$cm/s is obtained.

![Figure 7. Hydrodynamic voltammogram at scan rate of 50mV/msec of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H$_2$O solution using glassy electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH$_2$PO$_4$ as supporting electrolyte at room temperature and at pH 6.8.](image-url)
3.6. Effect of DNA on the CV of \([\text{Cu(phen)(edda)} \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}]\)

From the cyclic voltamogram, the lowest scan rate (5mV/s) gives a significant change in peak current on the addition of DNA. The current peak is suppressed when $2.95 \times 10^{-14}$M (resultant concentration) of DNA is spiked in and the current peak is remained unchanged at the subsequent addition of DNA (region a). A significant extent of current suppression is observed at reduction process (region a in Figure 8). It may be due to a slow diffusion of an equilibrium mixture of the free and DNA-bound complex to the electrode surface (Hirohama et al., 2005). This showed us that DNA of DNA bound species undergo adsorption process as the concentration of DNA is increased.

(Figure 9) The anodic peak potential ($E_{pa}$) and the cathodic peak potential ($E_{pc}$) in the absence of DNA are -106mV and -219mV respectively. The separation of the anodic and cathodic peak potential ($\Delta E_{p}$) is -113mV. The average of $E_{pa}$ and $E_{pc}$ in CV ($E_{1/2}$) is -56.5 mV in the absence of
DNA. While in the presence of DNA in the same solution at the same conditions causes positive shift of 22.5mV in \( E_{1/2} \). It was reported that a \( E_{1/2} \) shift in the positive direction when a small molecule binds to DNA by intercalation and the potential shifts in the negative direction when the interaction with DNA occurs by electrostatic attraction (Carter, Rodriguez, & Bard, 1989). Since the current works shows the presence of positive potential shift of 22.5mV, it is therefore evident that this copper complex, \([\text{Cu(phen)(edda)}]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\), binds with DNA through intercalation.

However, at higher scan rate of 100m/s and 1000m/s, peak shift appears smaller and rather insignificant (Table 1) as DNA was added in increasing amount from \(2.95 \times 10^{-14}\) M to \(1.18 \times 10^{-13}\) M into fixed amount of Cu complex. It is therefore evident that the electrochemical interaction of DNA and the copper complex appears to be scan rate dependent, and the interaction studies are best carried out at a low scan rate (eg.5mV/s.). It also implies that under these conditions, the redox peaks of \([\text{Cu(phen)(edda)}]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\), can be used as an indicator peaks for the presence of DNA during cyclic voltammetry.

Table 1. Peak potential shifting and current changes of 1mM \([\text{Cu(phen)(edda)}]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\) solution, as a function scan rate, and in the presence of increasing addition of DNA solution, ranging from 1st addition of \(2.95 \times 10^{-14}\) M, 2nd addition of \(5.9 \times 10^{-14}\) M, and 3rd addition of \(1.18 \times 10^{-13}\) M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scan rate (mV/s)</th>
<th>Addition of DNA</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
<th>Oxidation</th>
<th>ΔEp</th>
<th>E1/2</th>
<th>Shifting of ( E_{1/2} )/mV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Epc (mV)</td>
<td>Ipc (µA)</td>
<td>Epc (mV)</td>
<td>Ipc (µA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Without and with DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no addition</td>
<td>-219</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Addition</td>
<td>-209</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Addition</td>
<td>-202</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>-125</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Addition</td>
<td>-200</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>-132</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no addition</td>
<td>-191</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Addition</td>
<td>-166</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>-92</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Addition</td>
<td>-172</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>-94</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Addition</td>
<td>-165</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>-91</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no addition</td>
<td>-136</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>43.41</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Addition</td>
<td>-154</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Addition</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Addition</td>
<td>-149</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7. Chronocoulometry of Cu(phen)edda solution in the presence of DNA

From the result (Figure 10), there is a significant change at first addition of \(2.95 \times 10^{-14}\) M (resultant concentration) DNA. This make the charge decreased dramatically. In the absence and presence of DNA, The surface charges are 288µC/cm² and 251µC/cm² respectively. In the presence of
the DNA, only correspond to 46.7ng of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O electrolyzed on the surface of the 3mm diameter working electrode. The decrease in charge and hence the decrease in the amount of Cu(II) complex undergoing electrolysis is also evident of interaction between copper(II) complex and DNA.

![Graph showing charge over sqrt(Time, msec)](image)

**Figure 10.** Chronocoloumetry of 1mM [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O solution with 2.95x10⁻¹⁴M to 1.18x10⁻¹³M DNA using glassy electrode as working electrode and 0.1M KH₂PO₄ as supporting electrolyte. Based on the CV and CC results, it is suggested that the copper(II) complex (such as [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O can also be used as a possible marker/indicator redox component (such as Methylene Blue) (A. Erdem, Kerman, Meric, Akarca, & Ozsoz, 2000; Arzum Erdem, Kerman, Meric, & Ozsoz, 2001; Tani, Thomson, & Butt, 2001) for detecting the presence of DNA.

3.8. Chronoamperometry of Cu(phen)edda solution in the presence of DNA

The chronoamperograms of [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O and DNA is also associated with diffusion controlled process as is for [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O without DNA since monotonic current transient were observed.

4. CONCLUSION

[Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O is successfully studied and characterized using electrochemical technique. Interaction studies of copper complex with DNA showed that [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O interact with DNA through intercalation while hydrogen peroxide appears to be a stronger reduction
agent for the copper complex. The [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O undergoes a greater interaction with hydrogen peroxide than that of DNA as shown in the voltammetric results. [Cu(phen)(edda)]·5H₂O like Methylene Blue solution, can also be used as an DNA marker under CV conditions at low and moderate scan rates.
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