International Journal of ELECTROCHEMICAL SCIENCE www.electrochemsci.org

Short Communication

B, N dual-doped Graphene/Au@Pt Nanomaterials as Sensor for Determination of Aflatoxin B₁

Shaoping Feng^{1,2}, Jinming Meng^{1,2}, Jinming Guo^{1,2}, Xianlan Chen^{1,2,*}, Guowei Zhang^{1,2,*}, Wei Liu^{1,2,*}, Guiyang Liu^{1,2}, Guangming Yang^{1,2}, Hongyan Sun^{1,2}

 ¹ College of Science, Honghe University, Mengzi, 661199, Yunnan, P.R.China
² Local Characteristic Resource Utilization and New Materials Key Laboratory of Universities in Yunnan, Honghe University, Mengzi, 661199, Yunnan, China
*E-mail: <u>13489086418@163.com</u>, <u>yunnmzh@126.com</u>, <u>liuwei4728@126.com</u>

Received: 16 April 2020 / Accepted: 31 May 2020 / Published: 10 July 2020

In this study, B, N dual-doped graphene/Au@Pt nanomaterials (BN-G/Au@Pt NRs) was prepared by high pressure hydrothermal approach with the mass ratios of graphemes oxide (GO), urea (CH₄N₂O) and B₂O₃ were 1:25:30. BN-G/Au@Pt NRs have plenty of monolayer nanosheets with large-area and maintain the characteristic three dimension porous structure of grapheme. More importantly, the assynthesized BN-G/Au@Pt NRs present advantageous biocompatibility and multivalent affinity interactions with anti-AFB₁, which can amplify immunoreactions. Therefore, the designed immunosensor of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE can be used to determinate AFB₁ under optimal condition and exhibit excellent sensitivity with a wide linear range from 0.025 ng·mL⁻¹ to 25.00 ng·mL⁻¹ and low the detection limits of 0.017 ng·mL⁻¹. Moreover, the designed BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE immunosensor was successfully applied for AFB₁ determination in rice samples with acceptable recovery range of 98.0-101.1 %, which demonstrated that the proposed method could be a suitable candidate for AFB₁ detection in food industries.

Keywords: Aflatoxin B₁; B, N dual-doped grapheme (BN-G); BS-G/Au@Pt NRs; Electrochemical immunosensor

1. INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxins, as an important member of mycotoxins, belong to the fungal metabolites and have been found in human diets such as grains, various fruits and nuts [1, 2]. Aflatoxins are oxygenated chemicals with high toxicity, and cause disease in man or animals such as carcinogenesis, teratogenicity, mutagenicity and immunosuppressive activity [3, 4]. So far there are more than 20 aflatoxins with high toxicity have been identified, among which aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁) present the highest potential toxicity [5, 6]. Therefore, developing a specific, convenient and sensitive method for determination of AFB₁ is necessary and desirable due to its low concentration in food products and high toxicity.

Various analytical methods including TLC [7], LC-MS/MS [8], ELISA [9], HPLC [10, 11], have been proposed for detection of AFB₁. However, these methods have suffered from several disadvantages, such as time consuming, high-cost and complex sample processing and expensive instruments [12]. Nowadays, several electrochemical sensors with high selectivity have been developed for detection of AFB₁ and attracted special attention special attention [13]. For examples, Gevaerd et al. constructed a novel electrochemical sensor of NPAuGQD-SPE and employed for detection of AFB₁ in malted barley with a wide linear range (1.0-50.0 nM) and low detection limit (0.47 nM) [14]. Banitaba et al. successfully constructed IL-CPEs sensor and used to determinate of AFB₁ with the linear range and detection limit of $8.0 \times 10^{-8} - 5.91 \times 10^{-7}$ M and 2.0×10^{-8} M, respectively [15]. Li et al. reported Ab-SGIL-GCE electrode and used for determination of AFB₁ in bee pollen, and the linear range and detection limit are 0.1-10 ng·mL⁻¹ and 0.01 ng·mL⁻¹ respectively [13].

In order to develop an efficient, convenient and fast method with high sensitivity for determination of AFB_1 in food products, B, N dual-doped graphene/Au@Pt nanomaterials modified electrode (BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE) was developed in this study. The established electrochemical sensor was sensitive and reliable for determination of AFB_1 in rice samples with excellent stability and high accuracy.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Reagents

Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), albumin form bovine serum (BSA \geq 98%), monoclonal anti-AFB₁ antibody (anti-AFB₁), urea (AR, CH₄N₂O), HAuCl₄·3H₂O (AR, \geq 99.99%) and H₂PtCl₆ (AR, \geq 99.8) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Graphite (99.95%, 325 mesh) powder and B₂O₃ (AR, \geq 99%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar Co. Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Phosphate buffer solution (PBS, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) was used to adjust the pH of solution. All other chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade.

2.2 Instruments and characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD, X'pert, Philips, Holland) and XPS (Thermal Scientific K-Alpha XPS spectrometer) were employed to investigate the crystalline structures and elemental compositions of the BN-G/Au@Pt NRs. The surface morphologies and microstructure of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs were characterized by SEM (Nova Nano SEM 230, FEI, USA) and HRTEM (Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN, 200 kV, FEI Company, USA).

The electrochemical workstation (CHI 660C, Shanghai) was used to obtain the cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammogram (DPV). The electrochemical cell consisted of a three electrode system with a bare glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3.0 mm in diameter) or modified

working electrode as a working electrode, a platinum wire as a counter electrode and Ag/AgCl electrode as a reference electrode. The mixed solution of 10.00 mM $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-/4-}$, 0.10 M KCl and PBS (pH=7.0, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) were added into the electrochemical cell and all the determination solutions were purged with N₂ for at least 15.0 min before measurements. All measurements were carried out at room temperature (25 °C).

2.3 Preparation of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs

In order to prepare BN-G/Au@Pt NRs, 0.5 mg/mL GO with uniform dispersion was firstly obtained by sonication for a certain time. The as-prepared GO (0.5 mg/L), melamine (C₃H₆N₆) and B₂O₃ were mixed with a mass ratio of 1:25:30, followed by the addition HAuCl₄ (300 μ L 50 mM) and H₂PtCl₆ (375 μ L 40 mM) and sonicated for 30 min. The solution was transferred to a high-pressure reaction kettle and heated at 160 °C for 7 h under stirring. Then, the obtained mixture was centrifuged and washed 3~5 times until the excess urea (CH₄N₂O) and B₂O₃ were eluted.

2.4 Fabrication of the immunosensor

The fabrication procedure of the modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE), including polishing, ultrasonication and drying, were as same as in our previous works [16]. After activation, 15 μ L BN-G/Au@Pt NRs was uniformly dropped on the surface of the modified GCE and evaporated the solvent to prepare BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE. Then, 10 μ L 150 μ g/mL anti-AFB₁ were pipetted over the BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE and incubated at 37.0 °C for 40.0 min, followed by rinsing with blank PBS (pH= 7.0, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) to remove the residual anti-AFB₁ and drying at 25 °C, formed modified electrode was recorded as BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/GCE. Further, the non-specific sites of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/GCE was blocked with BSA (10.0 mL, 2.0 wt.%) and kept at 37.0 °C for 40.0 min to prepare BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE. Finally, the obtained BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE. Finally, the obtained BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE. Finally, the obtained BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁, and washed with blank PBS (pH= 7.0, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) until to remove residual AFB₁, and the BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE was obtained after drying.

2.5 Sample preparation

1.00 g pulverized rice sample and certain AFB₁ were uniformly mixed and extracted for 45.0 min with 5.0 mL methanol-water (80:20, v/v). The obtained mixture was centrifuged at 10000.0 rpm for 15.0 min and diluted into different concentration with PBS (pH= 7.0, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) for determination. The samples were stored at -20.0 °C before measurement. The AFB₁ spiked rice was incubated for 50.0 min, and then washed in PBS (pH= 7.0, 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄-Na₂HPO₄) and dried under N₂ followed by electrochemical measurements.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs



Figure 1. SEM of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs

SEM images of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs showed that numerous Au@Pt NRs have uniformly anchored on BN-G nanosheets with sheet-like and folded structure (Fig. 1(A) and Fig. 1(B)). It is clear observed that BN-G/Au@Pt NRs still maintain the characteristic three dimension porous structure of grapheme, and there are plenty of monolayer nanosheets with large-area, which resulted in excellent electrolyte penetration and intrinsic property.



Figure 2. TEM of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs (A-C), XRD of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs (D)

TEM with different magnification investigated the structure and morphology of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs in Fig. 2 (A), Fig. 2 (B) and Fig. 2 (C). From Fig. 2 (A), Au@Pt NRs are uniformly distributed on the transparent BN-G sheets and there are almost no free Au@Pt NRs, indicating that numerous Au@Pt NPs have uniformly anchored on the surface of BN-G. In Fig. 2 (B) and Fig. 2 (C), the BN-G/Au@Pt NR showed spherical particle morphology with an estimated diameter of 32 nm and present crumpled or wrinkled sheets. XRD has been employed for the phase composition of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs in Fig. 2 (D). There is an obvious peak at 2 θ = 24.44°, which is attributed to the (002) plane of graphene sheets [16]. In addition, the (111), (200), (220) and (311) planes of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs exhibited four distinguishable peaks at 38.26°, 44.56°, 64.52° and 77.58° of 2 θ values, respectively, which are corresponding to both Au and Pt diffractions [17, 18]. These results indicated that BN-G/Au@Pt NRs have been synthesized successfully.





Figure 3. (A) Full-scan XPS of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs(A), (B-F) high resolution C1s, O1s, B1s,N1s, Au 4f and Pt 4f XPS scan of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs

In order to ascertain the element components of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs, XPS was employed and the results were shown in Fig. 3. Four distinguishable peaks at 531.17eV (O1s), 399.17 eV (N1s), 285.17 eV (C1s), 191.17 eV (B1s) were observed in Fig. 3(A), demonstrating that the B and N atoms have been completely bonded to carbon atoms [16, 19]. Four fitting peaks of 284.64 eV (C=C), 285.16 eV (C-C and C-N), 286.39 eV (C-O) and 288.48 eV (-COOH) were obtained in Fig. 3(B) [20]. In addition, XPS spectra of O1s was shown in Fig. 3(C), there are three peaks at 531.35 eV (C=O-OH), 532.11 eV (C=O) and 532.76 eV (C-OH) [20]. From Fig. 3(D), four fitting peaks of 190.99 eV, 191.65 eV, 192.30 eV and 192.99 eV were attributed to B-C, BCO₂, BC₂ and B-O bands, indicating that B atom has embedded in the grapheme sheet [16, 21]. Three peaks at 398.30 eV (pyridinic N), 399.22 eV (yrrolic N) and 401.00 eV (graphitic N) were found in XPS spectra of N1s (Fig. 3(E)) [22]. Fig. 3(F) exhibits the binding energies Au $4f_{7/2}$ (84.47eV) and Au $4f_{5/2}$ (87.97eV) in BN-G/Au@Pt NRs [23]. In Fig. 3(G), there are two characteristic peaks at 73.27 eV and 76.47 eV corresponding to Pt $4f_{7/2}$ and Pt $4f_{5/2}$, respectively [24, 25].

3.2. Electrochemical characterization of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs

To characterize the interfacial properties of the immunosensor, the properties of modified electrodes, including BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE (a), BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/GCE (b), BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE (c) and BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE (d), were investigated by CV measurement in Fig. 4. Obviously, it is the BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE (a) that has the highest peak current (I_p), while a clearly decrease of I_p was observed after immobilization of anti-AFB₁ (BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/GCE (b)). These results indicated that the as-prepared BN-G/Au@Pt NRs possess large effective surface area and excellent electron-transfer abilities. In addition, I_p decreased slightly with the immobilization of BSA (BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE (c)), suggesting that active sites at the modified electrode which caused nonspecific adsorption were blocked partially. After incubation with 10.00 ng·mL⁻¹ AFB₁, I_p exhibits an obvious decrease, which presumably reason was that the inert property of protein and the generation of antibody-antigen

immunocomplexes result in the decreased ferricyanide diffusion ability. These results above demonstrated that the immobilized electrode was constructed successfully. More importantly, the highly oriented immobilization of AFB₁ could be modified onto the electrode due to the special active area of the as-prepared BN-G/Au@Pt NRs.



Figure 4. CVs of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/GCE (a), BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/GCE (b), BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/GCE (c) and BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE (d). The measurements were carried out in N₂-staturated 1.00 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆] and 0.50 M KCl mixture solution at 50.0 mV/s. The concentration of anti-AFB₁ and AFB₁ are 100.00 µg·mL⁻¹ and 10.00 ng·mL⁻¹, respectively.

3.3 Determination of optimized conditions for immunoassay of AFB1

The effects of pH of PBS, the concentration of anti-AFB₁, the immobilization time of anti-AFB₁, and the incubation time between antibody and antigen on the determination of 10.00 ng·mL⁻¹ AFB₁ have been investigated in Fig. 5. The electrochemical responses of 10.00 ng·mL⁻¹ AFB₁ on BN-G/Au@Pt NRs /anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE with different pH values (5.5, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 7.5) at 50 mV/s were investigated and shown in Fig. 5(A). The I_p reached to the minimum when pH value reached to 7.0, demonstrating that pH 7.0 was the suitable pH for determination of AFB₁ and used in further experiments. As shown in Fig. 5(B), the I_p decreased with the concentration of immobilized anti-AFB₁ increased at the beginning (< 150 mg·mL⁻¹), and then decreased obviously. Thus, 150 mg·mL⁻¹ of anti-AFB₁ concentration was a suitable optimum. In Fig. 5(B), DPV response of AFB₁ decreased steeply with the increasing of incubation time before 50.0 min and then increased obviously, indicating that 50.0 min was chosen as an optional assembly time of anti-AFB₁. Furthermore, 40.0 min of immobilization time of AFB₁ was selected for AFB₁ determination in this study because of the minimum DPV response so as to ensure a sufficient reaction between AFB₁ and the modified electrode.



Figure 5. Effect of pH of PBS (A), anti-AFB₁ concentration(B), anti-AFB₁ immobilization time(C) and AFB₁ immunoreaction time (D) on I_p of the immunosensor. The experimental conditions were optimized by recording DPV toward mixed solution of 10.00 ng⋅mL⁻¹ AFB₁, 10.00 mM [Fe(CN)₆]^{3-/4-} and 0.10 M KCl at 50 mV/s vs. Ag/AgCl.

3.4 Analytical performance of designed immunosensor

DPV responses at BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE were investigated with different AFB₁ concentration from 0.025~25.00 ng·mL⁻¹ in Fig. 6(A). A linearly decrease of the I_p can be observed with the successive increasing of AFB₁ concentrations from 0.025 to 25.00 ng·mL⁻¹. From Fig. 6(B), a linear relationship between I_p and AFB₁ concentrations was found and the regression equation was I_p =26.645-0.289c (ng·mL⁻¹), and the calculated detection limits was 0.017 ng·mL⁻¹ (S/N=3). These results indicated that the as-synthesized BN-G/Au@Pt NRs present an excellent electrochemical activity and the designed immunosensor can be used to determinate AFB₁ under optical condition, which could be a suitable candidate for AFB₁ detection in food industries. The comparison of similar electrodes and BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE for AFB₁ determination was shown in Table 1.



- Figure 6. (A) DPV at BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE, AFB₁ concentrations: 0.025, 0.05, 5.00, 10.00, 15.00, 20.00, 25.00 ng·mL⁻¹. (B) The relationship of I_p with AFB₁ concentration.
- Table 1. Comparison of similar electrodes and BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB1/BSA/AFB1/GCE for
AFB1 determination

Electrodes	Linear range	Detection limit	Reference
	(ng·mL ⁻¹)	$(ng \cdot mL^{-1})$	
Ab-SGIL-GCE electrode	0.1-10	0.01	[10]
BSA/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/CHI/GCE	0.6-110	0.2	[14]
BSA/anti-AFB1/CHI-AuNPs/Au microelectrode	0.1-30	0.06	[16]
HRP-anti AFB1/AuNPs/TiO2/RTIL/Nafion/GCE	0.1-12	0.05	[17]
HRP/anti-AFB1/AuNPs/AET/microelectrode	0.5-10	0.1	[18]
BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB1/BSA/AFB1/GCE	0.025-25	0.017	This work

3.5 Analytical application in spiked rice samples

Table 2. Results of AFB₁ detection in spiked rice samples (n=3)

Sample	Added (ng·mL ⁻¹)	Found (ng·mL ⁻¹)	Recovery (%)
Rice	10.00	9.80	98.0
	50.00	49.80	98.2
	100.00	101.10	101.1

In this study, the AFB₁ concentration in rice samples was determinated to further investigate the applicability of the designed BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE immunosensor. We spiked AFB₁ standards (10.00 ng·mL⁻¹, 50.00 ng·mL⁻¹ and 100.00 ng·mL⁻¹) into blank rice, followed by a sample preparation procedure (subsection 2.5). The DPV results showed that the recovery was 98.0-101.1 % (Table 2), demonstrating that the proposed method was reasonable for AFB₁ determination in rice samples.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, B, N dual-doped graphene/Au@Pt nanomaterials (BN-G/Au@Pt NRs) with excellent electron-transfer abilities have been developed and used to design immunosensor for AFB₁ determination. In particular, The BN-G/Au@Pt NRs maintain the characteristic three dimension porous structure of grapheme and present large effective surface area, which resulted in excellent electrolyte penetration and intrinsic property. Interestingly, the as-synthesized BN-G/Au@Pt NRs could be immobilization of anti-AFB₁ effectively, and have been prepared to BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE for AFB₁ determination. The designed immunosensor of BN-G/Au@Pt NRs/anti-AFB₁/BSA/AFB₁/GCE exhibits excellent sensitivity with a wide linear range (0.025 ng·mL⁻¹-25.00 ng·mL⁻¹) and low the detection limits (0.017 ng·mL⁻¹). Furthermore, the immunosensor was successfully applied to selective determination of AFB₁ in rice samples and the recovery was in the range of 98.0-101.1 %, offering the potential application for detecting of AFB₁ in real samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Yunnan education department of Scientific Research Foundation (2018JS478, 2019J1183), Yunnan Local Colleges Applied Basic Research Projects (2018FH001-114, 2018FH001-049 and 2017FD157) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51362012, 51662007 and 51574213).

References

- 1. A. Sheibani, M. Tabrizchi, H.S. Ghaziaskar, Talanta, 75 (2008) 233-238.
- M. Ventura, A. Gómez, I. Anaya, J. Díaz, F. Broto, M. Agut, L. Comellas, J. Chromatogr. A, 1048 (2004) 25-29.
- 3. F.Y. Yu, A.V. Gribas, M.M. Vdovenko, I.Y. Sakharov, *Talanta*, 107 (2013) 25-29.
- 4. Á.M. Móricz, Z. Fatér, K.H. Otta, E. Tyihák, E. Mincsovics, Microchem. J., 85 (2007) 140-144.
- H. Wang, Y.H. Zhang, Y.G. Chu, H.M. Ma, Y. Li, D. Wu, B. Du, Q. Wei, *Talanta*, 147 (2016) 556-560.
- 6. H.Y. Ge, Y.Y. Jiang, F.Y. Lian, Y. Zhang, S.H. Xia, Food Chem., 209 (2016) 286-292.
- 7. A. Kussak, B. Andersson, K. Andersson, J. Chromatogr. B, 672 (1995) 253-259.
- 8. Y.R. Zhao, J.X. Huang, L.Y. Ma, F.H. Wang, Food Chem., 221 (2017) 11-17.
- 9. S. Stefanovic, D. Spiric, R. Petronijevic, J.N. Trailovic, D. Milicevic, D. Nikolic, S. Jankovic, *Procedia Food Sci.*, 5 (2015) 270-273.
- 10. L.Z. Wang, Z. Wang, W.W. Gao, J. Chen, M.H. Yang, Y.F. Kuang, L. Huang, S.L. Chen, *Food Chem.*, 138 (2013) 1048-1054.
- 11. B.F. Huang, Z. Han, Z.X. Cai, Y.J. Wu, Y.P. Ren, Anal. Chim. Acta, 662 (2010) 62-68.
- 12. Q.H. He, Y. Xu, D. Wang, M. Kang, Z.B. Huang, Y.P. Li, Food Chem., 134 (2012) 507-512.
- 13. Z.J. Li, Z.Y. Wang, X.L. Sun, Y.J. Fang, P.P. Chen, Talanta, 80 (2010) 1632-1637.
- 14. A. Gevaerd, C.E. Banks, M.F. Bergamini, L.H. Marcolino-Junior, Sens. Actuators, B, 307 (2020) 127547.
- 15. M.H. Banitaba, S.S. Davarani, A. Mehdinia, Anal. Biochem., 411 (2011) 218-222.
- 16. X.L. Chen, G.M. Yang, S.P. Feng, L. Shi, Z.L. Huang, H.B. Pan, W. Liu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 402 (2017) 232-244.
- 17. X.Q. Li, L.S. Wang, Q. Wu, Z.C. Chen, X.F. Lin, J. Electroanal. Chem., 735 (2014) 19-23.
- 18. F.Y. Kong, L. Yao, R.F. Li, H.Y. Li, Z.X. Wang, W.X. Lv, W. Wang, J. Alloys Compd., 797 (2019)

413-420.

- 19. Y.P. Liu, Z.X. Chang, L. Yao, S. Yan, J.J. Lin, J. Chen, J. Lian, H.L. Lin, S. Han, J. Electroanal. *Chem.*, 847 (2019) 113111.
- 20. S.N. Wang, S. Liu, J.Y. Zhang, Y. Cao, *Talanta*, 198 (2019) 501-509.
- 21. Y.R. Sun, C.Y. Du, M.C. An, L. Du, Q. Tan, C.T. Liu, Y.Z. Gao, G.P. Yin, *J. Power Sources*, 300 (2015) 245-253.
- 22. S.A. Wohlgemuth, R.J. White, M.G. Willinger, M.M. Titirici, M. Antonietti, *Green Chem.*, 14 (2012) 1515-1523.
- 23. S. Lin, D.H.K. Reddy, J.K. Bediako, M.H. Song, W. Wei, J.A. Kim, Y.S. Yun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 5 (2017) 13557-13564.
- 24. M. Yamada, M.R. Gandhi, Y. Kondo, F. Hamada, Supramol. Chem., 26 (2014) 620-630.
- 25. Y.T. Qu, C. Li, L. Wang, Y.Z. Gao, J.C. Rao, G.P. Yin, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 41 (2016) 14036-14046.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by ESG (<u>www.electrochemsci.org</u>). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).