International Journal of ELECTROCHEMICAL SCIENCE www.electrochemsci.org

Effect of Argon-Oxygen Flow Rate Ratio in Magnetron Sputtering on Morphology and Hygroscopic Property of SnO₂ Thin Film

M. Faris Shahin Shahidan and R. Awang*

School of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia. *E-mail: <u>rozida@ukm.edu.my</u>

Received: 31 March 2016 / Accepted: 11 May 2016 / Published: 7 July 2016

Thin films of tin dioxide (SnO₂) were deposited by DC magnetron sputtering on quartz substrate at room temperature in different argon-oxygen gas flow rate ratio i.e. $Ar:O_2 = 100:20$ sccm, 90:30 sccm, 80:40 sccm, 70:50 sccm and 60:60 sccm. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns show that as-deposited SnO₂ thin films are amorphous. Post-annealing process in air at 500°C for 1 h resulted in semicrystalline SnO₂ that has tetragonal structure with spatial group of P42/mnm (136). Transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis confirmed that the semicrystalline nature of the films after annealing was due to crystal growth. The surface morphology studied by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) shows that the increase of argon flow rate lead to increment of the thickness and the crack size on the films surface. From the humidity response test, SnO₂ thin film that was deposited at maximum argon flow and minimum oxygen flow rate i.e Ar: $O_2 = 100:20$ showed negative sensitivity gradient. This shows that it has an opposing behaviour from n-type semiconductor due to its non-stoichiometric state caused by high oxygen vacancies. While SnO₂ thin film that was deposited at minimum argon flow and maximum oxygen flow rate i.e. $Ar:O_2 = 60:60$ showed the highest sensitivity (positive gradient) with slight fluctuation of repeatability. It was determined that at argon-oxygen flow rate ratio of $Ar:O_2 = 70:50$ yielded a SnO_2 thin film with high sensitivity (positive gradient) and good repeatability towards relative humidity showing it is environmentally stable.

Keywords: Cracks, FESEM, Humidity, TEM, Thickness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tin dioxide (SnO₂) is a wide band gap n-type semiconductor (E_g = 3.6-4.0 eV) with many interesting properties. Optically, an undoped SnO₂ thin film is highly transparent with an optical

transmittance of >80% in visible range. The film also has low resistivity ranging from $\rho = 10^{-3} - 100$ Ω cm. SnO₂ thin films are low cost, chemically stable, mechanically hard and can resist high temperature [5]. Therefore, SnO₂ thin films are versatile for various applications such as solar cells, optoelectronic devices, photocatalyst and sensors.

The use of SnO_2 as material in chemical sensor especially gas sensors has wide applications in industries. Since SnO_2 was introduced and patented as gas sensor in 1962 [8], it has undergone various research and development to improve its quality as gas sensor. In the form of thin films, it has growing popularity among researchers due to its flexibility and unique properties compared to bulk form. Besides, the hygroscopic property of SnO_2 has also been reported. An n-type semiconductor like SnO_2 would increase in conductivity when interacting with water molecules [6]. Moreover SnO_2 exhibits electronic conductivity at low temperature, thus SnO_2 based humidity sensor is expected [6]. However, the hygroscopic properties of SnO_2 in the form of thin films are seldom reported and discussed.

There are various deposition techniques used to prepare SnO_2 films such as spray pyrolysis, spin coating, thermal evaporation, chemical vapour deposition and sputtering. Among of the techniques, magnetron sputtering is known for its simplicity, provides controllable critical process parameters [9], high deposition rate, competitive costs, good reproducibility [10] and has wide range of materials that can be deposited [4]. In magnetron sputtering, the process parameters are very important to produce thin films with desired qualities. Parameters such as supplied power, type of reactive gas, partial gas pressure, gas flow rate, substrate temperature and deposition temperature can be tuned to deposit thin films of different properties.

In this paper, magnetron sputtered SnO_2 thin films were deposited at different flow rate ratio of reactive and sputerring gas i.e. oxygen and argon. Its effect on the films morphology was examined. Then, the response of the produced SnO_2 thin films towards relative humidity was also tested to determine optimum parameter in producing SnO_2 thin films with good hygroscopic properties.

2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

 SnO_2 thin films were deposited on an unheated quartz substrate from a 3.2 cm diameter tin (Sn) target with 99.999% purity using DC magnetron sputtering technique.

Parameters	Details	
Target	Tin, Sn (99.999% purity)	
DC power	30 W	
Deposition duration	40 min	
Flow rate ratio of Ar:O ₂ (sccm)	60:60, 70:50, 80:40, 90:30, 100:20	
Deposition temperature	Room temperature (~27°C)	

Table 1. Parameters used in magnetron sputtering during SnO₂ films deposition

The magnetron sputtering system used was Kurt J. Lesker's PVD 75 model. DC power of 30 W was supplied and the films were deposited at different argon-oxygen gas flow rate ratio, Ar:O₂ of 100:20 sccm, 90:30 sccm, 80:40 sccm, 70:50 sccm and 60:60 sccm, for 40 min at room temperature. The SnO₂ films were then annealed at 500°C in air for 1 h. Table 1 shows the summary of the deposition parameters used.

Both as-deposited and annealed SnO_2 thin films were characterized for their crystal structure using Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer which is equipped with CuK α radiation source. The surface morphology and thickness of the films deposited at Ar:O₂ = 100:20, 80:40 and 60:60 were analysed using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) (Supra 55 VP). The details of the morphology for the samples were analysed using transmission electron microscope (TEM) using JEOL USA's JEM-2100F.



Figure 1. (a) Block diagram of humidity sensing test system. (b) Component of the voltage divider circuit in the test chamber.

Before the humidity response tests were carried out, interdigitated silver (Ag) electrodes were deposited on top of the SnO₂ films which method has been described elsewhere [2]. A home-built humidity sensing test system (Fig. 1(a)) was used to test the films for their sensitivity and repeatability in changing humid environment at room temperature. The test chamber is consist of commercially available humidity sensor to measure %RH and a voltage divider circuit (Fig. 1(b)) to measure voltage change (V) of the thin film in dry and humid condition. R_0 is a resistant with known resistance act as a control while V_{in} is the voltage supplied by the DC source. The resistance of thin films (R_{RH}) was then calculated from Eq. 1 shown below.

$$R_{RH} = \frac{(V \times R_0)}{V_{in} - V} \quad (1)$$

Both measurements are then acquired by the data acquisition system. To establish both dry and humid conditions, the system has three valves labeled 1, 2 and 3. N_2 gas which act as dry air was made to flow through valve 1 in direction of (A) directly to the test chamber, thus create dry condition. Valve 2 and valve 3 on the other hand, allow N_2 gas to flow through water-filled conical flask, creating humid air to flow in (B) direction towards the test chamber. Both path (A) and (B) were alternately opened to test for thin film repeatability. The sensitivity, S was determined using the Eq. 2 below:

$$S = \frac{R_{RH_{max}} - R_{RH}}{R_{RH_{max}}} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

where R_{RH} is resistance of thin film at any %RH while R_{RHmax} is maximum resistance achieved by the thin film at particular %RH.



3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Figure 2. XRD diffractograms for (a) as-deposited and (b) post-annealed SnO₂ thin films deposited at different Ar:O₂ flow rate ratio. The diffraction peaks appeared due to annealing process.

Fig. 2 shows the XRD diffractograms of the as-deposited and annealed SnO₂ thin films. The absence of diffraction peak in Fig. 2(a) indicates that the as-deposited thin film is amorphous in nature. In Fig. 2(b), the appearance of major peaks at $2\theta = 26.5^{\circ}$, 33.8° , 37.9° and 51.7° which correspond to (110), (101), (200) and (211) plane [11] shows crystallinity of the thin films improved after annealing process.





Figure 3. Micrograph of topography of (a) as-deposited and (b) post-annealed SnO_2 thin film deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 100:20$

However, the slight displacement at $2\theta = 20^{\circ} - 25^{\circ}$ suggested that the post-annealed SnO₂ thin films are composed of semicrystalline SnO₂ with tetragonal structure and spatial group of P42/mnm (136). The increase in peak intensity with increasing argon flow rate indicated that the films have increasing number of SnO₂ particles deposited on the substrate. The formation of (110) plane is very important for sensor application because it helps to increase the oxygen vacancies at low temperature [12]. This is because the formation of oxygen vacancies on the surface of SnO₂ thin films can enhance the process of adsorption and desorption [6]. Thus the increase in peak intensity corresponding to (110) plane shows increment of oxygen vacancies on the films. The d-spacing for the (110) plane calculated from the XRD diagram is 0.351 nm.





Figure 4. FESEM micrograph showing topography of SnO₂ thin films deposited at Ar:O₂ (a) 100:20, (b) 80:40 and (c) 60:60 sccm.

The surface morphology of the SnO₂ thin films was obtained from the FESEM, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. From the Fig. 3, the inhomogeneity of the surface of the as-deposited film was due to agglomeration of SnO₂ particles during film deposition. However, the homogeneity of the film was improved and no agglomeration was observed after the annealing process. There was also slight increase in the crack size due to the heat treatment. Table 2 shows the deposition rate and thickness of the films deposited at Ar:O₂ = 100:20, 80:40 and 60:60 sccm that were obtained from FESEM. The thickness of the films increased with argon flow rate. An increase in argon content during deposition can increase the thickness of the films [13]. This is because when more argon ions were formed during deposition, the sputtering rate and deposition rate of SnO₂ were also increased and thus caused thickness of the films to increase.

Ar (sccm)	O ₂ (sccm)	Thickness (nm)	Deposition rate (nm/min)
100	20	118.4	2.96
80	40	29.03	0.72
60	60	21.21	0.53

Table 2. Thickness and deposition rate for SnO_2 thin film deposited at different $Ar:O_2$





Figure 5. TEM micrograph showing morphology of SnO_2 thin films deposited at Ar:O₂ (a) 100:20, (b) 80:40 and (c) 60:60

The surface morphology for the post-annealed SnO_2 thin films deposited at different $Ar:O_2 = 100:20$, 80:40 and 60:60 sccm are shown in Fig. 4. From the figure, the size of the cracks increased as Ar flow rate increased. Thus we can relate the crack size with the thickness of the films. As thickness increased, the mass of the films also increased.

Therefore, the heat absorbed by the thicker film during annealing is higher according to the specific heat capacity principle,

 $Q = mc\Delta T$ (3)

where Q is the heat energy, m is mass of the sample, c is specific heat capacity of the material and ΔT is the temperature difference. This caused higher thermal stress applied on the thicker film and thus increasing the crack size [14].

The morphology of the thin films were analysed qualitatively using TEM and shown in Fig. 5. From the figure, it can be seen that all samples are semicrystalline where the lattice fringes formed indicate crystalline structure while the randomly distributed crystallite shows the presence of amorphous. These results are consistent with the XRD results. Different contrasts refer to density difference and also might refer to grain size. Most of the grains with same crystallographic orientation were often overlapped and connected with neighbouring grains through necks (indicate by white arrows). From the TEM analysis, most of the grains have d-spacing of d = 0.341 nm (almost similar obtained from XRD) which corresponds to (110) orientation showing that the grains prefer to grow in (110) plane orientation.



Figure 6. TEM micrograph showing the formation of nanocluster during crystal growth process in post-annealed SnO₂ thin films

The presence of nanoclusters made by overlapped and connected neighbouring grains suggested that the grain growth process occurred during annealing. According to Chen et al. [6], there are four phases of grain growth process in SnO_2 thin films which starts with nucleation, followed by grain rotation, coalescence and finally the growth of nanoclusters. These phases can be shown in TEM micrograph in Fig. 6.

The region covered by solid line in Fig. 6(a) shows the nucleation process where some crystallites were arranged and forming lattice fringes. The effect of grain rotation can be seen in Fig. 6(b) where incomplete rotation forming incoherent grains that are overlapped and connected by necks (indicate by region inside solid line).





Figure 7. The sensitivity/resistivity vs. %RH plot for SnO_2 thin films deposited at $Ar:O_2 = (a) 100:20$, (b) 90:30, (c) 80:40, (d) 70:50 and (e) 60:60.

While arrow 1 in Fig. 6(a) shows the depletion of grain boundary indicating coalescence between grains in order to form nanoclusters. The region inside the dotted line is a nanocluster formed after coalescence with arrow 2 indicates possible coalesce boundary with slight misorientation due to imperfect coalescence.

The sensitivity (S) of the thin films are calculated from the change in resistance of the films towards the change in RH (refer Eq. 2), which is the common principle used to build resistive SnO₂ films-based gas sensor. It is assumed in this study that the grain size (D) is much larger than the depth of space-charge layer (δ) i.e electron depletion region. According to Correia-Pires [15], when D > δ (nm), the sensitivity is controlled by the active area of the surface as well as oxygen vacancies (The value of δ can be calculated using Debye length formula as stated in [15]). Therefore, in this study, we use oxygen vacancies-sensitivity model to describe the relationship between Ar:O₂ flow rate during deposition and humidity response of the SnO₂ thin films.

The electron depletion region in metal oxide film is formed when the film's surface is exposed towards air containing oxygen molecules. The formation of this region particularly for SnO_2 thin films, had been described by Ahn et. al. in [1]. In general, the oxygen molecules from the air is adsorbed onto the film's surface due to the free electrons and the process can be presented by the stoichiometric

formula below. Higher oxygen vacancies lead to a higher density of free electron thus increase the thickness of the adsorbed oxygen ion layer formed at the film's surface. In this study, oxygen vacancies of the films are highly dependent on the $Ar:O_2$ flow rate.

$$\frac{1}{2} O_2 + e^- \to O^-$$

When the surface is exposed to humid air with a significant amount of water molecules (H₂O), the oxygen ions were replaced by the H₂O which is adsorbed on the oxide surface in the hydroxyl forms [6]. This is caused by the competitive adsorption between oxygen ions and H₂O molecules and thus desorption of O⁻ by releasing electrons (which process is the reverse of above stoichiometric formula). This will neutralize the electron depletion region. According to Chen & Lu [6], the H₂O molecules behaves as electron donors to the film's surface and deduced that for the n-type metal oxide thin film like SnO₂, the competitive adsorption will increase the conductivity of the surface (lower resistivity) and thus increases sensitivity.

Both Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 represent humidity response of the thin films and can be described by aforementioned mechanisms. Fig. 7 shows the change of resistivity and sensitivity of the films in increasing %RH environment. Generally, from the figure, it is shown that resistivity of thin films deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 100:20$ and 90:30 sccm increases with RH. This behavior opposed to intrinsic n-type semiconductor properties. Nevertheless, other samples shows decrease in resistivity as RH increases thus increase the sensitivity. It is determined that the SnO₂ thin film deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 60:60$ has the highest sensitivity compared to others as it has the lowest thickness which provides higher surface area per volume. Meanwhile, the film which deposited at the highest amount of oxygen flow rate (60 sccm), also has lower oxygen vacancies (less free electron) [3] thus adsorb less oxygen from the air. This will aid the adsorption of H₂O molecules during competitive adsorption. While cracks on the film's surface can contribute to the increase in sensitivity, the effect of thickness is more significant for this particular thin film (Ar:O₂ = 60:60). However, the effect of the cracks can be applied to thicker films.

Fig. 8 shows the repeatability test of the SnO_2 thin films for approximately 2100 seconds. The test confirms that both sample deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 100:20$ and 90:30 sccm have opposite behavior of n-type semiconductor while others follow their intrinsic property in the humid atmosphere.





Figure 8. The repeatability (resistance vs. time) plot for SnO_2 thin films deposited at $Ar:O_2 = (a)$ 100:20, (b) 90:30, (c) 80:40, (d) 70:50 and (e) 60:60.

From the Fig. 8, thin film deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 70:50$ sccm shows very good stability through the cycle. Even though it has sensitivity half of that SnO_2 thin film deposited at $Ar:O_2 = 60:60$ sccm, it exhibits the best repeatability test as compared to others as it shows stable increment and decrement rate of resistivity.

The peculiarity showed by SnO_2 thin films deposited at $\text{Ar:O}_2 = 100:20$ and 90:30 in response toward %RH such that they yield a negative gradient of sensitivity when interacting with water molecules. Note that both of the films were deposited at lower oxygen flow rate. According to Bansal et al. [3], low oxygen flow rate during deposition lead to increase in oxygen vacancies and thus increase the free electron concentration. As suggested by Chen and Lu [6] and also Ahn et al. [1], oxygen vacancies can lead to a nonstoichiometric condition of the films. Therefore, for films deposited at lower oxygen flow rate, the free electron concentration is higher which causes more oxygen ions adsorb on the film's surface and thus higher humidity is needed to replace most of the ions before interact with the film's surface. This effect can be seen in Fig. 7(a) and (b) where at higher humidity, i.e %RH= 85-90% the sensitivity start to increase which follow n-type semiconductor behaviour.

In Fig. 8 (a) to (e), we can see changing trend as the oxygen flow rate increases . At minimum oxygen flow rate, the films show good stability but opposite behaviour from the n-type semiconductor. As oxygen flow rate increase, the repeatability starts to decline until it reaches 40 sccm. At this condition, the repeatability starts to fluctuate and as oxygen flow rate increase it become more stable

until eventually follow n-type semiconductor behaviour. This response shows that oxygen flow rate of 40 sccm as the turning point of the films' behavior from opposing n-type semiconductor towards its intrinsic property. Thus, we can say that both SnO_2 thin films deposited at Ar:O₂ of 100:20 and 90:30 are not environmentally stable due to nonstoichiometric condition and tend to oppose its intrinsic behavior.

4. CONCLUSION

From this study, it can be concluded that the as-deposited SnO₂ thin films are amorphous. Annealing process aids the crystal growth and thus crystallizes the SnO₂ thin films. Therefore, semicrystalline SnO₂ thin films with tetragonal structure and spatial group of P42/mnm (136) were successfully obtained. The simultaneous increase of argon flow rate and decrease of oxygen flow rate influenced the morphology of the films and their response towards humidity. The SnO₂ thin film deposited at maximum argon flow rate but minimum oxygen flow rate i.e Ar: $O_2 = 100:20$, has opposite behaviour from n-type semiconductor due to high oxygen vacancies which lead to its nonstoichiometric state. Apparently, SnO₂ thin film deposited at minimum argon flow rate but maximum oxygen flow rate i.e. $Ar:O_2 = 60:60$, has highest sensitivity with positive gradient which dominantly due to its low thickness. It also has good repeatability and environmentally stable. Finally, in intermediate deposition condition i.e., $Ar:O_2 = 70:50$, SnO_2 thin film with high sensitivity towards humidity, better repeatability and environmentally stable, was yielded. Therefore, argon-oxygen flow rate ratio, Ar:O₂ of 70:50 is the best parameter to deposit a SnO₂ thin film with good hygroscopic property. The results also show that SnO₂ thin film can operate at room temperature which makes it suitable for humidity sensor. The sensitivity of the magnetron sputtered SnO₂ thin films could be enhanced by increasing the chamber pressure during the deposition process while maintaining the same Ar:O₂ ratio at 70:50 sccm. This is because at higher pressure, thin films produced will have lower oxygen vacancies and thus could increase its sensitivity [15]. As oxygen vacancy is low, the charge carrier density i.e free electron is also decreased thus attracts less oxygen from air. This established a lower competitive adsorption between water molecules and the pre-adsorbed oxygen molecules from air thus subsequently aid the rate of physisorption of water molecules.

While sensitivity of single crystal SnO_2 thin film can be enhanced through deposition condition, doping is another effective method that could be approached to achieve higher sensitivity. Single crystal thin films are usually lack of exposing surface area which lead to lower sensitivity as compared to doped thin films [16]. Previous research done [17] shows that the sol-gel prepared Zndoped SnO_2 thin film comprises of cubic structured crystallites. Higher amount of Zn dopant leads to more pronounced cubic shape yet smaller in size. This increases the surface area per volume of the thin film which allows higher adsorption of water molecules thus increases the sensitivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support in the form of a Fundamental Research Grant Scheme FRGS/2/2013/SG02/UKM/02/1 by Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia.

References

- 1. H. Ahn, J.H. Noh, ,S.-B. Kim, R.A.Overfelt, Y.S. Yoon, D.-J. Kim, *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 124 (2010) 563-568.
- 2. N.F.H. Aziz, R. Ritikos, S.A.A Kamal, N.S. Mohamed Hussain, R. Awang, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1571 (2013) 125-131.
- 3. S. Bansal, D.K. Pandya, S.C. Kashyap, D. Haranath, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 583 (2014) 186-190.
- 4. G. Bräuer, B. Szyszka, M. Vergöhl, R. Bandorf, *Vacuum* 84 (2010) 1354-1359.
- 5. M. A. Camacho-López, J. R. Galeana-Camacho, A. Esparza-García, C. Sánchez-Pérez, C. M. Julien, *Surface and Vacuum, Mexican Society of Science and Technology of Surfaces and Materials*, 26 (2013) 95-99.
- 6. Z. Chen, C. Lu, Humidity Sensor: A Review of Material and Mechanisms, *Sensor Letter*, (2005) 274-295.
- 7. Z.W. Chen, Z. Jiao, M.H. Wu, C.H. Shek, C.M.L. Wu, J.K.L. Lai, *Progress in Materials Science*, 56 (2011) 901-1029.
- 8. J. Fraden, *Handbook Of Modern Sensors: Physics, Designs and Applications*, fourth ed., Springer, New York, 2010.
- 9. M.A. Gubbins, V. Casey, S.B. Newcomb, Thin Solid Films, 405 (2002) 270-275.
- 10. D. Leng, L. Wu, H. Jiang, Y. Zhao, J. Zhang, W. Li, L. Feng, *International Journal of Photoenergy*, (2012) 1-6.
- 11. W. Z. Samad, M. S. Muhamad, A. Shafiee and A. A. Yarmo, *Sains Malaysiana*, 40(3) (2011) 251–257.
- 12. F. R. Sensato, R. Custódio, M. Calatayud, A. Beltrán, J. Andrés, J. R. Sambrano, E. Longo, *Surface Science*, 511 (2002) 408- 420.
- 13. S. Saipriya, M. Sultan, R. Singh, Physica B, 406 (2011) 812-817.
- 14. Z. Tang, P.C.H. Chan, R.K. Sharma, G. Yan, I-M. Hsing, J.K.O. Sin, Sensors and Actuators B, 79 (2001) 39-47.
- 15. J. M. Correia-Pires, J. B. Almeida, V. Teixeira, *Key Engineering Materials*, Vols. 230-232 (2002) 388-391.
- 16. N. D. Md Sin, Mohamad Fadzil Tahar, M. H. Mamat, M. Rusop, Enhancement of Nanocomposite for Humidity Sensor Application, Recent Trends in Nanotechnology and Materials Science: Selected Review Papers from the 2013 International Conference on Manufacturing, Optimization, Industrial and Material Engineering (MOIME 2013), Springer International Publishing (2014): 15-30.
- 17. N.D. Md Sin, Noorlaily Samsudin, S. Ahmad, M.H. Mamat, M. Rusop, *Procedia Engineering* 56 (2013): 801 806.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by ESG (<u>www.electrochemsci.org</u>). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).